Value of Environmental Monitoring Information in Oklahoma Agriculture: A Research Perspective



BACKGROUND

- Agricultural production covers around 78% of Oklahoma's total state area
- The 2006 drought cost the state's economy over \$500 million from lost crop production alone (Sutherland & Crawford 2006), while the 2011 drought caused an additional loss of \$1.6 billion (Stotts 2011)
- With the anticipated population growth in Oklahoma, extreme weather events will be more important to address and monitor
- The statewide weather monitoring network Oklahoma Mesonet is a valuable tool for obtaining accurate and comprehensive environmental monitoring information
- Impacts of Mesonet information on farming decision and economic and environmental savings (and prevented losses) in agriculture generated by Oklahoma Mesonet has not been studied enough
- **Comprehensive quantitative evaluations on the value of information towards agriculture are** missing

COMMODITY	HARVESTED ACRES	PRODUCTION VALUE
Нау	3,590,000	\$629,125,000
Wheat	5,300,000	\$307,020,000
Corn	320,000	\$174,783,000
Soybeans	365,000	\$101,921,000
Cotton	240,000	\$73,649,000
Sorghum	370,000	\$64,649,000
Canola	270,000	\$14,415,000
Pecans	-	\$13,904,000
Peanuts	12,000	\$11,660,000
Rye	240,000	\$5,841,000

Oklahoma crops – 2014 value of production

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

The main research objective is to provide a quantitative evaluation of environmental monitoring information generated by Oklahoma Mesonet to farmers in the state

The following sub-objectives will help us achieve this goal:

- Define cognitive-motivational variables determining planting, growing, harvesting, and management decisions
- Provide a quantifiable measurement of benefits resulting from the application of environmental monitoring information generated by the Oklahoma Mesonet
- Analyze possible trends and differences and/or similarities in farmers' willingness to pay for environmental monitoring information on staple and specialty crop farms

OKLAHOMA MESONET

- The Oklahoma Mesonet consists of 120 automated stations across the state (with at least one Mesonet station in each of Oklahoma's 77 counties)
- Information provided through Mesonet observations is used by farmers to improve their decision making and generate economic benefits resulting from optimized application of production/input factors and resource conservation
- Oklahoma is the ideal location for evaluating benefits of a mesoscale network because of variability in weather and agricultural enterprises (Kenkel & Norris 1995)

Jesus I. Zubillaga¹ and Jad R. Ziolkowska² ¹ Graduate Research Assistant ² Assistant Professor University of Oklahoma, Department of Geography and Environmental Sustainability j.zubillaga15@ou.edu, jziolkowska@ou.edu







Oklahoma Mesonet stations

CIMMARRON • Kenton Boise City	TEXAS Hooker	BEAVER Beaver Slapout •		May Ranch woods reedom Alva	ALFALFA Cherokee
	LEGEND U/OU Research (Idemic/Foundatio		Woodwa ELLIS Arnett Cama ROGER MILLS Cheyenne	Seiling Fairv	MAJOR iew X BLAINE Watonga CAN
AirpPriv	leral/City/State (1 port (10) vately Owned (66 S Micronets (35)		GREER HAR Mangum		Hinton CADDO Fort Cobb Apache dicine Park COMANCHE

Mesonet Network Agricultural Advisor

Rainfall & Accumulated Rainfall	•
Cattle Comfort Advisor	•
Degree-day Heat Unit Advisor	•
Fire Danger Advisory	•
Burning Index	•
Keetch-Byram Drought Index	•
Drift Risk Advisor	•
Dispersion & Inversion Conditions	•
Evapotranspiration & Irrigation Planner	•
	Cattle Comfort Advisor Degree-day Heat Unit Advisor Fire Danger Advisory Burning Index Keetch-Byram Drought Index Drift Risk Advisor Dispersion & Inversion Conditions

4 to 16 inch Plant Available Water



- **Fractional Water Index**
- **Depth to Groundwater**
- Wheat Growth Day Counter
- Alfalfa Weivel Advisor
- Wheat First Hollow Stem Advisor
- **Grape Black Rot Advisor**
- Pecan Scab Advisor
- Peanut Leaf Spot Advisor
- **Seed Germination**

METHODS

- relationships between the input and output variables
- for the information generated by the Mesonet network



EXPECTED RESULTS

- savings and prevent potential losses/expenses
- production expenses can be expected
- environmental monitoring information

REFERENCES

- Water Resources Center. OSU



Surveys with farmers of conventional crops (wheat, corn, soybeans) and specialized crops (pecans, peanuts, peaches, watermelons) will be conducted

Crops budgets and statistical data from NASS and USDA will be used to quantify the value of Mesonet information with a time series analysis for 2004-2014

A multivariate statistical analysis will be conducted to determine causal effects and

Contingent valuation method (CVM) will be applied to estimate the willingness to pay (WTP)

Farmers use Mesonet to optimize application of fertilizers, pesticides, water resources and other production input factors, as well as planting and harvesting times

The application of Mesonet information can boost farmers economic and environmental cost

A strong correlation between farmers' WTP and production outcomes, farm revenue, and

Variations in WTP will result from the type of the specific Mesonet Agricultural Advisor Tool applied on farms, farm size, crop type, irrigation system, weather changes, socio-economic conditions in different Oklahoma regions, and demographics

The value of Mesonet information is anticipated to be higher, the higher the reliance on the

Kenkel P.L.; Norris P.E. 1995. Agricultural producers' Willingness to Pay for real-time mesoscale weather information. Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics 20(2): 356-372 Stotts D. 2011. Oklahoma gricultural losses from drought more than \$1.6 billion. Oklahoma

Sutherland A.; Crawford K. 2006. Drought severe in NW Oklahoma. Agweather Connection 1(3)